

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF A CLASS OF $[Z]$ GROUPS VIA KOROVKIN THEORY

MANJU RANI AGRAWAL - U.B. TEWARI

We characterize all the central topological groups  $G$  for which the centre  $Z(L^1(G))$  of the group algebra admits a finite universal Korovkin set. It is proved that  $Z(L^1(G))$  has a finite universal Korovkin set iff  $\hat{G}$  is a finite dimensional, separable metric space. This is equivalent to the fact that  $G$  is separable, metrizable and  $G/K$  has finite torsion free rank, where  $K$  is a compact open normal subgroup of certain direct summand of  $G$ .

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra with continuous involution. An eminent problem in Korovkin approximation Theory is to characterize those  $A$  which admit a finite universal Korovkin set. Here, a subset  $S$  of  $A$  is said to be a universal Korovkin set iff the following analogue of the classical Korovkin Theorem ([9]) is true:

For every commutative Banach algebra  $B$  with continuous symmetric involution, every  $*$ -homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and every uniformly bounded net  $\{T_\alpha\}$  of positive linear operators from  $A$  to  $B$ , the convergence  $\lim_\alpha \|(T_\alpha x - Tx)^\wedge\|_\infty = 0 \quad \forall x \in S$  implies  $\lim_\alpha \|(T_\alpha y - Ty)^\wedge\|_\infty = 0 \quad \forall y \in A$ .

In [1], we had characterized the central topological groups (or  $[Z]$  groups)  $G$  having a compact open normal subgroup  $K$  such that  $G =$

---

*Keywords and phrases:* Universal Korovkin set, central topological group, continuous irreducible unitary representation, induced representation, centre of group algebra, Segal algebra.

$KZ$ , where  $Z$  is the centre of  $G$ . for which the centre  $Z(L^1(G))$  of the group algebra has a finite universal Korovkin set. In this connection it is appropriate to mention (see, [4, Theorem 4.4]) that every  $[Z]$  group is of the form  $G = V \times H$ , where  $V$  is an Euclidean group and  $H$  has a compact open normal subgroup. Further, the group algebra of any Euclidean group has a finite universal Korovkin set. Therefore to prove that  $Z(L^1(G))$  has a finite universal Korovkin set, it suffices to prove that  $Z(L^1(H))$  has a finite universal Korovkin set, see [1]. Thus the basic problem is to investigate it for the  $[Z]$  groups which have a compact open normal subgroup. In this paper we characterize such  $[Z]$  groups for which  $Z(L^1(G))$  has a finite universal Korovkin set.

If  $G$  is a  $[Z]$  group having a compact open normal subgroup  $K$ . then we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $G/K$  is abelian [4, Cor. 2, p. 331]. Moreover, in this case there exists a finite chain of open normal subgroups of  $G$  such that

$$G = G_n \supseteq G_{n-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_0 = KZ$$

and  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  is a cyclic group of prime order  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$  (see, [7, Section 1.4, p. 70]).

In [1] we had already settled the problem for the case  $n = 0$ . In this paper we settle the problem for any  $[Z]$  group which has a compact open normal subgroup and prove the following.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $[Z]$  group having a compact open normal subgroup  $K$  such that  $G/K$  is abelian. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- i)  $Z(L^1(G))$  admits a finite universal Korovkin set.
- ii)  $G$  is separable, metrizable and  $G/K$  has finite torsion free rank.
- iii)  $\hat{G}$  is a finite dimensional, separable metric space.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries.

We shall follow the notations used in [1]. A locally compact group  $G$  is said to be a  $[Z]$  group if  $G/Z$  is compact, where  $Z$  is the centre of  $G$ . Throughout the paper  $G$  will be a  $[Z]$  group. It is known [5, Theorem 2.1] that every continuous irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  is finite dimensional.  $\hat{G}$  will denote the set of equivalence classes of

continuous irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . For  $\sigma \in \hat{G}$ , let  $\chi_\sigma$  and  $d_\sigma$  be its character and dimension respectively and let  $\tau_\sigma$  be the multiplicative linear functional on  $Z(L^1(G))$  defined as

$$\tau_\sigma(f) = \int_G f(x) \frac{\overline{\chi_\sigma(x)}}{d_\sigma} dx, \quad f \in Z(L^1(G)).$$

It is shown in [6, Section 6] that every multiplicative linear functional on  $Z(L^1(G))$  arises in this manner and the set  $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \frac{\chi_\sigma}{d_\sigma} : \sigma \in \hat{G} \right\}$  equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$  coincides with the maximal ideal space of  $Z(L^1(G))$ . The topology of  $\mathcal{X}$  can be transported to  $\hat{G}$  in a natural way. Let  $H$  be a closed normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\sigma \in \hat{H}$  then  $\sigma^G$  will denote the representation of  $G$  induced by  $\sigma$ , see [8]. Let  $S(\sigma) = \{s \in G : \sigma_{sxs^{-1}} = \sigma_x \forall x \in H\}$  denote the stability group of  $\sigma$ . For  $\rho \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\rho|_H$  will denote its restriction to  $H$ . By the dimension of a topological space we mean the covering dimension (see [10], p. 9).

### 3. Proof of the main result.

To prove Theorem 1.1, let us first collect some auxilliary results. Since each quotient  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  has a prime order, there is a nice relationship among  $\hat{G}_i$ 's. We quote the following useful Lemma in this connection.

LEMMA A [7, Lemma 1.1] *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup (of prime index  $p$ ) in a locally compact group  $G$  such that all the continuous irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  are finite dimensional. We define*

$$(\hat{G})_I = \{\rho \in \hat{G} : \rho|_H \text{ is irreducible}\},$$

$$(\hat{G})_{II} = \{\rho \in \hat{G} : \rho = \sigma^G \text{ for some } \sigma \in \hat{H}\},$$

$$(\hat{H})_I = \{\sigma \in \hat{H} : S(\sigma) = G\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\hat{H})_{II} = \{\sigma \in \hat{H} : S(\sigma) = H\}.$$

Then  $\hat{G}$  is the disjoint union of  $(\hat{G})_I$  and  $(\hat{G})_{II}$ ;  $\hat{H}$  is the disjoint union of  $(\hat{H})_I$  and  $(\hat{H})_{II}$ . Moreover we have

- (i) If  $\sigma \in \hat{H}$ , then  $\sigma \in (\hat{H})_I$  iff  $\sigma = \rho|_H$  for some  $\rho \in (\hat{G})_I$ . In this case all the extensions of  $\sigma$  are of the form  $\tilde{\chi} \otimes \rho$  for  $\chi \in (G/H)^\wedge$ , where  $\tilde{\chi}$  denotes the lift of  $\chi$  to  $G$ .
- (ii) If  $\sigma \in \hat{H}$ , then  $\sigma \in (\hat{H})_{II}$  iff  $\sigma^G$  is irreducible. Further, for  $\rho \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\rho \in (\hat{G})_{II}$  iff  $\chi_\rho(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin H$ .

We shall also need the following.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $H$  and  $G$  be as in Lemma A. If  $\rho \in \hat{G}$  and  $\rho|_H$  is irreducible then  $\chi_\rho(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in G$ .*

*Proof.* By (i) of Lemma A,  $\rho|_H \in (\hat{H})_I$  and therefore the stability group of  $\rho|_H$  is  $G$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \{s \in G : (\rho|_H)_{sxs^{-1}} = (\rho|_H)_x \forall x \in H\} \\ &= \{s \in G : \rho_{sxs^{-1}} = \rho_x \forall x \in H\} \\ &= \{s \in G : \rho_s \rho_x \rho_s^{-1} = \rho_x \forall x \in H\} \\ &= \{s \in G : \rho_s \rho_x = \rho_x \rho_s \forall x \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\rho_x : x \in H\}$  is an irreducible set of operators, it follows that  $\rho_s = c_\rho(s)I_\rho$ , where  $I_\rho$  is the  $d_\rho$ -dimensional identity operator and  $c_\rho(s)$  is a scalar depending on  $\rho$  and  $s$ . It is easy to check that  $c_\rho(st) = c_\rho(s)c_\rho(t)$  for  $s, t \in G$  and  $c_\rho(e) = 1$ . Since  $\chi_\rho(x) = c_\rho(x)d_\rho$ ,  $\chi_\rho$  can not vanish on  $G$ .

### (3.2) Proof of Theorem 1.1

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It has been shown in [1] that if  $Z(L^1(G))$  has a finite universal Korovkin set then its maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{X}$  is a finite dimensional separable metric space. Consequently  $\hat{G}$  is also a finite dimensional separable metric space.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since  $G/K$  is abelian,  $K$  contains the closure of the commutator group  $G'$ . Now as in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.2], it can be shown that  $G/K$  has finite torsion free rank. Further, by Theorem 2.3 of [7],  $G$  is separable and metrizable.

(ii)⇒(i). Since  $Z(L^1(G))$  has a bounded approximate identity, in view of Theorem 2.9 of [1] it suffices to show that there exist finitely many functions in  $Z(L^1(G))$  such that their Gelfand transforms separate the points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . As discussed in Section 1, there exists a finite chain of open normal subgroups of  $G$  such that

$$G = G_n \supseteq G_{n-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_0 = KZ$$

and  $G_i/G_{i-1}$  is a cyclic group of prime order  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ . Further, since  $G/K$  has finite torsion free rank, each  $G_i/K$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) has finite torsion free rank. We shall prove the assertion by induction on the length of the normal series. The case  $n = 0$  has already been taken care of [1, Theorem 4.2].

**Step 1.**

We assume that  $n = 1$ , that is  $G = G_1 \supseteq G_0 = KZ$  and  $G/G_0$  is a cyclic group of prime order  $p$ . Let  $y$  be an arbitrary but fixed element in  $G$  such that the coset  $yG_0$  is a generator of  $G/G_0$ . Since  $G$  is separable and metrizable, so is  $K$ . Hence  $\hat{K}$  is countable. Since  $K$  is a compact subgroup of  $G$ , by Theorem 5.1 of [5],  $\hat{K} \subseteq \{\sigma|_K : \sigma \in \hat{G}\}$ . Thus we may choose a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\hat{G}$  such that  $\hat{K} = \{\sigma_n|_K\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of distinct positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n}^2 < \infty.$$

Now  $G_0/K$  has finite torsion free rank, say  $r$ . Then there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in G_0$  such that  $\{x_1K, \dots, x_rK\}$  is a maximal independent set of elements of infinite order in  $G_0/K$ . Since  $G_0 = KZ$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  may be chosen to be central elements of  $G$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup generated by  $K$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . Then  $H$  is an open normal subgroup of  $G_0$  and  $G_0/H$  is discrete. Since  $G$  is separable and metrizable,  $G_0/H$  is second countable. Therefore  $G_0/H$  is countable. Further, since  $G_0 = HZ$ , we may choose elements  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  belonging to  $Z$  such that  $G_0/H = \{s_iH\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . Since  $G_0/H$  is a torsion group,  $\{\gamma(s_iH) : \gamma \in (G_0/H)^\wedge\}$  is a finite subgroup of the circle group for each  $i$ . Therefore for each  $i$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon_i < 1$  and such that

$$|\gamma(s_iH) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_i \forall \gamma \in (G_0/H)^\wedge \text{ for which } \gamma(s_iH) \neq 1.$$

Let  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a summable sequence of positive numbers satisfying

$$\varepsilon_n \delta_n - 2 \sum_{i \geq n+1} \delta_i > 0 \quad \forall n.$$

(one such sequence is obtained by defining  $\delta_i = \frac{1}{4^i} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \varepsilon_k$ , as in [11, Section 3, p. 453]).

We define  $r + 3$  functions in  $Z(L^1(G))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n} \chi_{\sigma_n} \xi_K \\ f_i(x) &= f_0(x x_i^{-1}) \xi_{G_0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \\ f_{r+1}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta_i f_0(x s_i^{-1}) \xi_{G_0} \\ f_{r+2}(x) &= f_0(x y^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where for a subset  $E$  of  $G$ ,  $\xi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ .

We shall prove that the Gelfand transforms of these  $r + 3$  functions separate the points of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Note that the Gelfand transforms of the restrictions of the functions  $f_0, \dots, f_{r+1}$  to  $G_0$  already separate the points of  $\mathcal{X}_{G_0} = \left\{ \frac{\chi_\sigma}{d_\sigma} : \sigma \in \hat{G}_0 \right\}$  (cf. Theorem 4.2 of [1]). Let  $\sigma, \rho \in \hat{G}$  satisfy  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, r + 2$ . We must show that  $\sigma = \rho$ .

In view of Lemma A, there are three possibilities:

- (i)  $\sigma \in (\hat{G})_I, \rho \in (\hat{G})_{II}$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G})_I$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G})_{II}$ .

*Case (i)  $\sigma \in (\hat{G})_I, \rho \in (\hat{G})_{II}$ .*

We shall show that this possibility can not occur. The assumption implies that  $\sigma|_{G_0}$  is irreducible and  $\rho = \eta^G$  (induced representation) for some  $\eta \in \hat{G}_0$ . Now

$$\tau_\sigma(f) = \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_G f(x) \overline{\chi_\sigma(x)} dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\rho(f) &= \frac{1}{d_\rho} \int_G f(x) \overline{\chi_\rho(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{pd_\eta} \int_{G_0} f(x) p \overline{\chi_\eta(x)} dx = \frac{1}{d_\eta} \int_{G_0} f(x) \overline{\chi_\eta(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\sigma(f_i) &= \tau_\rho(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \tau_{\sigma|_{G_0}}(f_i) = \tau_\eta(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma|_{G_0} = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

But this is a contradiction because

$$\sigma|_{G_0} \in (\hat{G}_0)_I = \{\sigma \in \hat{G}_0 : S(\sigma) = G\}$$

and  $\eta \in (\hat{G}_0)_{II} = \{\sigma \in \hat{G}_0 : S(\sigma) = G_0\}$  and the two sets are disjoint (see, Lemma A).

Case (ii)  $\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G})_I$ .

The assumption implies that  $\sigma|_{G_0}$  and  $\rho|_{G_0}$  are irreducible. Thus the equations  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \forall i = 0, \dots, r + 1$  imply that  $\sigma|_{G_0} = \rho|_{G_0}$ . It follows from Lemma A (i) that

$$\sigma = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \rho,$$

where  $\chi \in (G/G_0)^\wedge$  and  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the lift of  $\chi$  to  $G$ . We claim that  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ .

Now  $\sigma|_{G_0}$  and  $\rho|_{G_0}$  are irreducible. Since  $G_0 = KZ$ , by Lemma 4.1 of [1], it follows that  $\sigma|_K$  and  $\rho|_K$  are irreducible. If  $\sigma|_K = \sigma_m|_K = \rho|_K$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\sigma(f_{r+2}) &= \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_G \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n} \chi_{\sigma_n}(xy^{-1}) \xi_K(xy^{-1}) \overline{\chi_\sigma(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_K \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n} \chi_{\sigma_n}(x) \overline{\chi_\sigma(xy)} dx = \frac{\alpha_m}{d_{\sigma_m}} \overline{\chi_\sigma(y)} \end{aligned}$$

as can be easily proved. Similarly

$$\tau_\rho(f_{r+2}) = \frac{\alpha_m}{d_{\sigma_m}} \overline{\chi_\rho(y)}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\sigma(f_{r+2}) &= \tau_\rho(f_{r+2}) \\ \Rightarrow \chi_\sigma(y) &= \chi_\rho(y) \\ \Rightarrow \chi_{\tilde{\chi} \otimes \rho} &= \chi_\rho(y) \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}(y)\chi_\rho(y) &= \chi_\rho(y) \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}(y) &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 3.1  $\chi_\rho(y) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\chi(yG_0) = 1$ . Since  $yG_0$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $G/G_0$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ . Consequently  $\sigma = \rho$ .

Case (iii)  $\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G})_{II}$ .

The assumption implies that there exist  $\mu, \eta \in \hat{G}_0$  such that  $\sigma = \mu^G$  and  $\rho = \eta^G$ . Now for  $f \in Z(L^1(G))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\sigma(f) &= \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_G f(x) \overline{\chi_\sigma(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{pd_\mu} \int_{G_0} f(x) \overline{p\chi_\mu(x)} dx = \frac{1}{d_\mu} \int_{G_0} f(x) \overline{\chi_\mu(x)} dx\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\tau_\rho(f) = \frac{1}{d_\eta} \int_{G_0} f(x) \overline{\chi_\eta(x)} dx.$$

Thus the equations  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \forall i = 0, \dots, r+1$  yield that  $\mu = \eta$ . Since  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are respectively the representations on  $G$  induced by  $\mu$  and  $\eta$ , we have  $\sigma = \rho$ .

## Step 2.

Assuming that the assertion is true for  $Z(L^1(G_{j-1}))$  we shall establish the assertion for  $Z(L^1(G_j))$ . Let  $p_i$  be the prime order of the cyclic group  $G_i/G_{i-1}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , we fix  $y_i \in G_i$  such that  $y_i G_{i-1}$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $G_i/G_{i-1}$ . Since  $K$  is a compact subgroup of  $G_j$  and  $\hat{K}$  is countable, as in Step 1, we may choose



a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\hat{G}_j$  such that  $\hat{K} = \{\sigma_n|_K\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Note that the restriction of each  $\sigma_n$  to each  $G_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq j - 1$ , is irreducible.

Let  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of distinct positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n}^2 < \infty.$$

Now  $G_0/K$  has finite torsion free rank, say  $r$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r, \{s_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be as in Step 1. We define  $r + j + 2$  functions in  $Z(L^1(G_j))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n d_{\sigma_n} \chi_{\sigma_n} \xi_K \\ f_i(x) &= f_0(x x_i^{-1}) \xi_{G_{j-1}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \\ f_{r+1}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta_i f_0(x s_i^{-1}) \xi_{G_{j-1}} \\ f_{r+2}(x) &= f_0(x y_1^{-1}) \xi_{G_{j-1}} \\ f_{r+3}(x) &= f_0(x y_2^{-1}) \xi_{G_{j-1}} \\ &\vdots \\ f_{r+j}(x) &= f_0(x y_{j-1}^{-1}) \xi_{G_{j-1}} \\ f_{r+j+1}(x) &= f_0(x y_j^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the restrictions of the functions  $f_0, \dots, f_{r+j}$  to  $G_{j-1}$  are in  $Z(L^1(G_{j-1}))$  and their Gelfand transforms separate the points of  $\mathcal{X}_{G_{j-1}} = \left\{ \frac{\chi_\sigma}{d_\sigma} : \sigma \in \hat{G}_{j-1} \right\}$ . We claim that  $\hat{f}_0, \dots, \hat{f}_{r+j+1}$  separate the points of  $\mathcal{X}_{G_j} = \left\{ \frac{\chi_\sigma}{d_\sigma} : \sigma \in \hat{G}_j \right\}$ . Let  $\sigma, \rho \in \hat{G}_j$  satisfy  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, r + j + 1$ . Since  $G_j/G_{j-1}$  has prime order, as before there are three possibilities:

- (i')  $\sigma \in (\hat{G}_j)_I$  and  $\rho \in (\hat{G}_j)_{II}$
- (ii')  $\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G}_j)_I$
- (iii')  $\sigma, \rho \in (G_j)_{II}$ ,

Case (i')

$\sigma \in (\hat{G}_j)_I$  and  $\rho \in (\hat{G}_j)_{II}$  that is  $\sigma|_{G_{j-1}}$  is irreducible and  $\rho = \eta^{G_j}$ , for some  $\eta \in \hat{G}_{j-1}$ . Now as in Step 1,

$$\tau_\sigma(f) = \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_{G_j} f(x) \overline{\chi_\sigma(x)} dx$$

and

$$\tau_\rho(f) = \frac{1}{d_\eta} \int_{G_{j-1}} f(x) \overline{\chi_\eta(x)} dx.$$

Thus

$$\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r+j$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{\sigma|_{G_{j-1}}}(f_i) = \tau_\eta(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r+j$$

$\Rightarrow \sigma|_{G_{j-1}} = \eta$ . This leads to a contradiction as in Step 1.

Case (ii')

$\sigma, \rho \in (\hat{G}_j)_I$  that is  $\sigma|_{G_{j-1}}$  and  $\rho|_{G_{j-1}}$ , are irreducible. The equations  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r+j$  imply that  $\sigma|_{G_{j-1}} = \rho|_{G_{j-1}}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma = \tilde{\chi} \otimes \rho$ , where  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the lift of  $\chi \in (G_j/G_{j-1})^\wedge$  to  $G_j$ . Again as in Step 1, we can conclude from the equation  $\tau_\sigma(f_{r+j+1}) = \tau_\rho(f_{r+j+1})$  that  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ . Thus  $\sigma = \rho$ .

Case (iii') that is  $\sigma = \mu^{G_j}$  and  $\rho = \eta^{G_j}$ , where  $\mu, \eta \in \hat{G}_{j-1}$ . As in step 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\sigma(f) &= \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_{G_j} f(x) \overline{\chi_\sigma(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p_j d_\mu} \int_{G_{j-1}} f(x) p_j \overline{\chi_\mu(x)} dx = \frac{1}{d_\mu} \int_{G_{j-1}} f(x) \overline{\chi_\mu(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau_\rho(f) = \frac{1}{d_\eta} \int_{G_{j-1}} f(x) \overline{\chi_\eta(x)} dx.$$

The equations  $\tau_\sigma(f_i) = \tau_\rho(f_i) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, r+j$  now imply that  $\mu = \eta$  and hence  $\sigma = \rho$ .

This completes the proof.